

**UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes  
June 29-30, 1979**

06-29-1979

Pages 121-148

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

June 29, 1979

The Board of Regents met on the above date at Northern Nevada  
Community College, Elko.

Members present: Mr. James L. Buchanan, II

Mr. Robert A. Cashell

Mrs. Lilly Fong

Mrs. Molly F. Knudtsen

Louis E. Lombardi, M. D.

Miss Brenda D. Mason

Mr. John R. Mc Bride

Mr. John Tom Ross

Members absent: Mr. Chris Karamanos

Others present: Chancellor Donald H. Baepler

President William Berg, NNCC

President Joseph Crowley, UNR

President Jack Davis, WNCC

President Brock Dixon, UNLV

President Paul Kreider, CCCC

Vice President Mark Dawson, DRI

General Counsel Larry Lessly

Secretary Bonnie M. Smotony

Also present were Senate representatives Elliott (WNCC/N), Hanna (NNCC), Jacobson (DRI), Kendall (Unit), Marschall (UNR), Pugsley (WNCC/S), and Van Vactor (UNLV), and Student Association representatives Campbell (UNLV), Koepf (USUNS), Rea (WNCC/N), and Ritch (UNR).

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Cashell at 10:10 A.M.

#### 1. Adoption of Consent Agenda

Adoption of the Consent Agenda containing the following items was recommended (identified as Ref. A and filed with permanent minutes):

1. Approval of the minutes of the Personnel Sessions of May 18 and June 8, and the minutes of the Special Meeting of June 8, 1979.
2. Report of Gifts and Grants.
3. Request to sell 100 shares of Koracorp Industries stock received from Mr. William M. Hernstadt for the benefit of the UNLV Athletic Scholarship Fund.
4. Request for extended sick leave for a six-month period beginning July 31, 1979 for Professor J. D. Van Wormer, Mackay School of Mines, UNR.
5. Request for leave of absence without pay for Janet Kimak Quillian, College of Allied Health, for one additional year, returning to UNLV in the Fall of 1980.
6. Request for postretirement appointment for Richard Strahlem, Professor of Accounting, UNLV, for the 1979-80 academic year.
7. Appointment of Dr. Ted Sanders, Superintendent of Public Instruction, to the WNCC Advisory Board.

8. Appointment of the following to UNR Advisory Boards:

(a) College of Agriculture Citizens Advisory Board

for three-year terms:

Karl Weikel, Searchlight, replacing Neil Stewart

Chris Ganzberg, Jr., Gardnerville, replacing

Dallas Byington

Kenneth F. Benson, Eureka, replacing De Loyd

Saterthwaite

John Connelly, Yerington, reappointment

Tobias Grether, Battle Mountain, replacing Bob

Quilici

(b) College of Business Administration Advisory Board

for three-year terms:

George Aker, President, Nevada National Bank

Alan J. Grant, Chairman, Primark Corporation

Bill Kottinger, Vice President, Paine, Webber,

Jackson & Curtis

Donald E. Mc Ghie, Partner, Kafoury-Armstrong-

Turner & Company

John F. Rhodes, Partner, Alexander Grant &  
Company

(c) College of Education Advisory Board:

Margaret H. Peraldo, Winnemucca, replacing  
Timothy Rowland, who has resigned.

9. A request for authorization to proceed with employment of a registered engineer to adapt two prefabricated buildings to the Holly Park site in Pahrump, and to seek bids for balance of development permitted by AB 521, which appropriated \$120,000 to UNR for the College of Agriculture.
10. A request for authorization to retain an architect to develop plans necessary to proceed with improvements at the 4-H Camp at Lake Tahoe, as approved by AB 700, which appropriated \$175,176 to UNR for this purpose.
11. Request to proceed with construction of a residence at Gund Ranch for the manager of the facility. The house is to be approximately 1,400 square feet, with a full basement, and is estimated to cost \$45,000. Materials

will be purchased through the University, with construction to be under the supervision of Mr. A. Bruner, an employee of the College of Agriculture and registered by the State Contractors Board as a carpenter and remodeling contractor since 1965. Inspection of the work will be performed by professional engineers employed by the University and funds will be provided from proceeds of the sale of Main Station Farm property.

Chairman Cashell noted that the minutes of the Personnel Session of June 8, 1979, had not been distributed in time to allow for their review by everyone and suggested that approval of those minutes be deferred until the August meeting.

Dr. Lombardi moved adoption of the Consent Agenda, with the exception of the minutes of the Personnel Session of June 8, 1979. Motion seconded by Mr. Buchanan, carried without dissent.

## 2. Bid Opening for WNCC Revenue Bonds

Mr. Partridge reported that six bids had been received for

\$2.2 million revenue bond issue for the North Campus of WMCC. He recommended that the bid of Valley Bank of Nevada, at an interest rate of 6.22%, be accepted, and that the Board adopt the following resolution and authorize any additional actions necessary to complete the revenue bond sale:

RESOLUTION NO. 79-5

A RESOLUTION DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "7-1-79 BOND RESOLUTION"; CONCERNING THE COMMUNITY COLLEGES OF THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DIVISION, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM; AUTHORIZING THE CONSTRUCTION, OTHER ACQUISITION, AND IMPROVEMENT OF BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, AND OTHER FACILITIES ON THE CAMPUS OF THE WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AT RENO, EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, AND OTHER APPURTENANCES: AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF THE SECURITIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, DESIGNATED AS THE "UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM, COMMUNITY COLLEGES STUDENT FEES REVENUE BONDS, SERIES JULY 1, 1979", IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$2,200,000.00, FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFRAYING IN PART THE COST OF SUCH PROJECT, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, FUNDING, REDEEMING, AND PAYING THE SECURITIES HERETOFORE ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY AND KNOWN AS THE "UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, WESTERN NEVADA

COMMUNITY COLLEGE, INTERIM DEBENTURE, SERIES OCTOBER 1, 1978", IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT AND DENOMINATION OF \$1,200,000.00; ACCEPTING ON BEHALF OF THE UNIVERSITY THE BEST BID FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE BONDS; PROVIDING THE INTEREST RATES WHICH THE BONDS SHALL BEAR AND THE PURCHASE PRICE THEREFORE; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS OF THE BONDS, THE MANNER OF THEIR EXECUTION, THE METHOD OF THEIR PAYMENT AND THE SECURITY THEREFOR; PROVIDING FOR THE COLLECTION AND THE DISPOSITION OF REVENUES DERIVED FROM CERTAIN FEES AND OTHER CHARGES FROM STUDENTS ATTENDING THE COMMUNITY COLLEGES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM, AND DERIVED FROM OTHER FACILITIES OF THE UNIVERSITY; PLEDGING SUCH REVENUES TO THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL BONDS AND OTHER SECURITIES PAYABLE FROM SUCH REVENUES; PROVIDING DUTIES, PRIVILEGES, POWERS, LIABILITIES, DISABILITY, IMMUNITIES, AND RIGHTS PERTAINING THERETO; PROVIDING OTHER COVENANTS, AGREEMENTS, AND OTHER DETAILS AND MAKING OTHER PROVISIONS CONCERNING THE COMMUNITY COLLEGES, THE BONDS, THE DEBENTURE, AND THE PLEDGED REVENUES; RATIFYING ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN AND PERTAINING TO THE FOREGOING MATTERS; PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO; AND REPEALING ALL RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT HEREWITH.



Mr. Buchanan moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

### 3. Proposal from City of Elko

President Berg presented a request from the City of Elko to to exchange an 80' easement on the northern boundary of the College property for a 60' easement running parallel to the freeway now under construction. Dr. Berg reported that the NNCC Advisory Board had looked at this proposal by the City and had recommended that the easement not be granted. Dr. Berg noted that construction of a road parallel to the freeway would bisect the Campus and would make access between the two parts of the Campus difficult, and hamper the future development of that part of the Campus thus separated from the presently developed area. He pointed out that the road which is proposed would be heavily travelled in that it would handle all of the traffic from several subdivisions located to the north of the Campus.

Mr. Barry Thompson, acting City Manager, explained the City's proposal, noting that when the College property was deeded to the Board of Regents, the 80' easement in question

was placed at the northern edge of the property because no one knew at that time when the road would be needed and where it would be most desirable. He said that it was the City's intention that the easement would be moved once the route of the freeway was determined and when the City's development had progressed to the point where the best location for construction of a road was known.

Mr. August H. Vogler spoke concerning the City's request, stating that he was representing himself and other property owners in the Ruby View District, and urged approval by the Board of the proposed land exchange.

Mr. Bill Cordes, representing the Superintendent of the Eastern Nevada Indian Agency, reported that the Bureau of Indian Affairs is very much in favor of the construction of a frontage road to provide access to those Indian lands to the north of the housing subdivisions which border the Colledge's property, pointing out that the present road situation is inadequate and access in emergency situations is very difficult.

Mr. George Corner, Mayor of the City of Elko, urged the Board's approval of the exchange, reiterating Mr. Thompson's

statement that it was the City's intention when the easement was placed at the northern boundary of the College property that it would be moved at a later date to where it would do the most good. Mr. Comer cited the unanticipated growth of Elko and pointed out that construction of a frontage road along the freeway was important to the City's ability to insure that the growth is orderly.

Mr. Hugh Mc Mullen, Chairman of the NNCC Advisory Board, recalled the exchange of land with the City of Elko which had resulted in the construction of the College on its present site. He suggested that the problem is with the property owners to the north of the College who do not want a road constructed in back of them but prefer that the road be placed parallel to the freeway and removed from their property. Mr. Mc Mullen also spoke about the problem of security of the Campus if a public road is constructed through the Campus.

Miss Mason suggested that construction of a road through the Campus might be an advantage of the College. Dr. Lombardi agreed, pointing out that it would be some years before that part of the Campus is developed and suggested that with adequate safety features such a road would not

be a problem.

Mr. Buchanan moved that the request by the City of Elko be denied. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride.

Mr. Ross stated that although he would support the motion for denial, he would be willing to reconsider this request in the future. Mr. Mc Bride agreed, stating that he too would be willing to reconsider if the situation changed; however, he stated that he believed the Advisory Board had carefully investigated the matter and he would not go against their recommendation that the request be denied.

Motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Yes - Mr. Buchanan, Mrs. Fong, Mrs. Knudtsen, Mr. Ross,

Mr. Mc Bride, Mr. Cashell

No - Dr. Lombardi, Miss Mason

#### 4. Request for Approval of Lease Agreement

President Crowley requested approval to enter into an agreement for lease of approximately 5,270 square feet in a building owned by S. T. & P. Investments, Ltd., at 953-35B

E. Sahara, Commercial Center, Las Vegas, to be assigned to and occupied by the Clark County Cooperative Extension Service. The lease will be for five years, commencing July 1, 1979, at a monthly rate of \$3,030.25. Chancellor Baepler recommended approval.

Mr. Buchanan moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride, carried without dissent.

5. Request for Authority to Apply to FCC to Become Interim Licensee for Channel 3, Las Vegas

Chancellor Baepler noted that President Dixon had planned a proposal requesting authority to explore the possibility of applying to FCC for UNLV to become the interim licensee for Channel 3 in Las Vegas, which was scheduled to go off the air as of July 4; however, since the station had received an extension of that FCC ruling, the item was withdrawn.

President Dixon explained that when a television station loses its license and when it is threatened with an FCC blackout, it is common to look for an interim licensee, and Universities are frequently acceptable as such interim li-

censees. He noted that Channel 3 is the NBC affiliate in the Las Vegas community and provides important information and entertainment, and it was believed desirable that the University be prepared to volunteer as an interim licensee to keep the station on the air. He emphasized that the University would not propose to intervene in competition with other qualified licensees but would only apply if there were no other alternatives to the station going off the air.

Mr. Buchanan suggested that even though an extension has been granted, it would be desirable that the University be authorized to proceed in the event that no other qualified interim licensee is available at the end of that extension.

Mr. Buchanan moved that UNLV be authorized to apply to the FCC to become the interim licensee for Channel 3 in the event no other qualified interim licensee is available should one be required to permit the station to remain on the air. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride, carried without dissent.

It was understood that such an application by the University would be made only if there were no other qualified appli-

cants and that there would be no expense to the University.

#### 6. Final Drawings, Phase IV, Carson City Campus, WNCC

Final drawings for Phase IV of WNCC/Caron City Campus were presented by Jack Sheehan of the architectural firm of Sheehan and Haase. Mr. Sheehan noted that the project contains 19,736 square feet, with an additional classroom of 1,800 square feet to be bid as an alternate. The estimated cost for the project included in the base bid is \$1,193,822.

Bids will be opened by the State Public Works Board on August 23.

Mr. Ross moved approval of the final drawings as presented.

Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

#### 7. Proposal for Funding for CSUN Radio Station

President Dixon reported that CSUN had requested a \$95,000 interest-free loan from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account with which to purchase equipment needed to get the radio station operational by Fall, 1979. Such a loan, Dr. Dixon noted, would result in a great savings to CSUN in that they would not have to borrow at high in-

terest rates, or lease the equipment at substantially greater cost than would be true of a cash purchase.

Dr. Dixon also stated that should the Board of Regents not approve such a loan, it had been determined that the loan could be made from various accounts within UNLV; however, it would be necessary that loans from UNLV accounts would require payment of interest since they are presently earning interest and it would not be proper to divert funds from those accounts without compensatory interest charges.

Danny Campbell, CSUN President, stated that if a loan could be arranged that would permit them to buy the equipment, rather than lease it, substantial savings would be realized.

He presented the following modified proposal:

A loan of \$35,000 from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account, interest-free, to be repaid in the Fall of 1982.

A loan of \$60,000 from various gift accounts at UNLV, at 10% interest, to be repaid one half in the Fall of 1980 and the balance in the Fall of 1981.



Mr. Campbell stated that the savings incurred in this way would enable CSUN to concentrate on a quality operation without having to worry about the financial aspects, and would not burden the budget of the radio station in future years. He noted the support given this project by the Regents in the past and said he hoped the Regents would continue their support by approving the loan requested.

Chancellor Baepler reported that the Board of Regents Special Projects Account shows a balance of approximately \$188,000 for this fiscal year, with all anticipated expenses for the coming year encumbered and subtracted. Income of approximately \$250,000 is anticipated during the next fiscal year. In addition there is approximately \$170,000 outstanding in interest free loans.

Dr. Baepler recommended that CSUN be authorized to proceed with the purchase of new equipment, and that prior to the August meeting there be a review of student enrollments to see what CSUN's budget will be, and a determination be made concerning the timing of the need for cash for this purchase, holding open the possibility of help from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account, together with loans from accounts at UNLV.

Mr. Buchanan moved that CSUN be authorized to proceed with purchase or lease of the equipment for the radio station, with a proposal for the financing within the parameters discussed to be brought back to the August meeting. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride, carried without dissent.

8. Selection of Alternate Architects for Business and Hotel

Management Building and Other Capital Improvement Projects

Chancellor Baepler recalled that the Board had previously submitted to the State Public Works Board nominations for architects for University projects to be funded during the coming biennium. However, some of these architects have been assigned to other major projects and the State Public Works Board has requested the University to submit alternate nominees. Accordingly, Chancellor Baepler recommended the Board concur in the following nominations:

(1) Business and Hotel Management Building, UNLV

Architronics, a Las Vegas firm composed of David Welles, Gerald Moffitt and John Mayers.

(2) Learning Resource Center, CCCC - Daniel, Mann, Johnson

& Mendenhall.

(3) Campus Improvements, CCCC - Daniel, Mann, Johnson &

Mendenhall.

(4) Campus Improvements, UNR - Architectural firm called Habitat (Simpson, Northan & Hubbard), and the engineering firm of either Conley or Simoncini, with an approved mechanical consultant for the underground power and additions to the central control and exhaust system.

(5) Campus Improvements, NNCC - Arne Purhonen.

(6) School of Mines, UNR - Sheehan & Haase, with expectation that they will use the firm of Esherick, Homsey, Dodge and Davis, an out-of-state firm, as consultants.

(7) Campus Improvements, UNLV - Architectural firm of Hartley Alexander, and the engineering firm of Boyle Engineering.

(8) Henderson Community College - Leo Borns.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason.

Chancellor Baepler also reported that the architectural firm submitted to the State Public Works Board as the number one choice for the UNR Sports Pavilion has been assigned the design contract for the new prison. The State Public Works Board is now negotiating with Casazza, Peetz & Associates, the number two nominee, with the understanding that they will affiliate with the out-of-state consultant, Crane Anderson of Texas. Should these negotiations break down, Dr. Baepler stated that further consideration by the Board of Regents will be requested.

Mr. Mc Bride reported on his discussions with residents of Fallon who have expressed some very strong interest in the facility to be constructed for the Community College there, noting that they have stated they specifically do not want a monument to the architect, but rather wish to have a functional, operational facility with emphasis on well-equipped vocational and technical areas. It was agreed that examples of the educational specifications developed for Fallon facility would be provided by President Davis to Mr. Mc Bride and other interested Regents, and that the Community College staff would consult with the people both in Fallon and Henderson in the development of specifications for the new

Community College facilities.

Motion carried without dissent.

#### 9. Proposal for Funding of UNLV Marching Band

Mr. Buchanan recalled that he had asked for consideration at this meeting of funding for the UNLV Marching Band, and had subsequently sent to each of the Regents a proposal developed by Mr. Charles Lee, the Band Director, for \$55,000 from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account, to be used to help the band get through the coming season. Mr. Buchanan recalled that the Board had appointed a committee two years ago to investigate the level of interest in a marching band and to identify possible sources of funding. This committee had raised approximately \$139,000 which was used to purchase the uniforms and to hire Mr. Lee as the Director. Mr. Buchanan suggested that if the Board would allocate \$55,000 from its Special Projects Account, the balance of \$29,000 which is needed could be solicited from the community. He emphasized that this would be a one-time request and it was not anticipated that a subsequent request would be made of the Board for this purpose.

In response to a question from Miss Mason, Wayne Pearson, Assistant Athletic Director, explained that each member of the band receives \$100 a semester which is to reimburse him/her for personal expenses incurred by participation in the marching band. It was also noted that although there are grants-in-aid available to Music students, none is awarded to members of the band based on participation in the band.

Mr. Pearson also stated that the committee members who had worked to raise the initial funding for the band had done so in good faith, being assured that they would not be called upon again to raise funds but that the University would thereafter assume the cost of the marching band.

Chancellor Baepler cited the substantial startup costs associated with the marching band; i. e., the purchase of uniforms and instruments, and agreed that the University had definitely committed itself to pick up the salary of the Band Director, but pointed out that continuing operating costs of the band would normally be expected to come from the athletic budget. However, he noted, although a significant increase had been anticipated from the Legislature, it did not materialize because of unforeseen difficulties and the Athletic Department does not have the extra money

required for the band.

Mr. Pearson recalled that it had been intended that legislative help would be requested for the band; however, as a matter of strategy, a decision was made that the University would not include this item in its budget request to the Legislature since there were some other very large items with higher priority, such as the sports pavilions. Instead, upon the advice of friends in the Legislature, it was agreed to wait until final passage of the legislation on the pavilions, at which time the marching band request would be submitted. Unfortunately, Mr. Pearson noted, because of Senate amendments to AB 63, and because the Assembly then would not concur with the Senate amendments, a conference committee was necessary and AB 63 did not pass until the final item of business prior to adjournment of the Legislature, and the strategy on the marching band was not successful.

In response to a question on how the band would be funded during the second year of the biennium, Mr. Pearson suggested that the committee and the Boosters Club would again be helpful, but he believed they first wanted to see the Board of Regents provide some help, pointing out that the band was

a creation of the Board.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested that the Board of Regents and the University had, in her opinion, helped out by providing the salary for the Band Director, and by providing grants-in-aid. Chancellor Baepler stated that there has been no increase in the number of grants-in-aid which are available over what had been available prior to the start-up of the marching band. He also pointed out that for the past ten years there has been tremendous pressure for UNLV to have a marching band, pressure which the Athletic Department has resisted. However, community interest encouraged Regents to appoint a committee, which led to the marching band, but he pointed out that the Athletic Department had not asked the Board for permission to start the band. Unfortunately, he noted, the anticipated help from the Legislature did not materialize and there is a very short time left to provide funding for the band season which starts almost immediately.

Mr. Buchanan reported that there had been some thought to having a fund raising event the night before the first football game to try to raise the additional monies necessary for the band's travel; however, he stressed the importance of help from the Board to allow the band to survive.



Chairman Cashell asked about the trip to Hawaii included on the proposal distributed by Mr. Buchanan. He suggested that such a trip would be fairly expensive and was told by Mr. Pearson that it would be a travel party of 170-175. Mr. Buchanan stated that funds for that trip will be raised by the band or by the community and none of the money requested from the Board of Regents would be for travel. Mr. Lee concurred, stating that he was asking the Board only for operating funds and for student stipends, and no travel for the Board is anticipated from the \$55,000 allocation requested from the Board.

Mr. Mc Bride reported that he had received a letter from the Alumni Association supporting the band. He asked for an expression from the Faculty Senate and from CSUN.

Mrs. Van Vactor, Chairman of the UNLV Faculty Senate, stated that the Senate had not received prior information concerning the proposal and therefore had not discussed it.

Mr. Campbell, CSUN President, stated that the students certainly would like to have a good marching band but had also not discussed the proposal before the Board. He did note

that CSUN has made allocations from student funds to the Music Department, but not to the marching band itself.

Mr. Buchanan moved that the Board of Regents allocate \$55,000 from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account for the marching band. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, who stated that her second was contingent upon the understanding that this would be a onetime allocation only and that there would be some kind of fund raising effort to provide stability to the band until such time as legislative support is obtained.

Motion received the following roll call vote:

Yes - Mr. Buchanan, Miss Mason, Mr. Mc Bride

No - Mrs. Fong, Mrs. Knudtsen, Dr. Lombardi

Abstain - Mr. Ross

The Chairman noted that a motion must receive five affirmative votes to carry and therefore declared the motion to have failed.

Mrs. Fong explained that her opposing vote was because of the precedent such an allocation would establish, thereby

inviting other Departments to submit similar requests.

Mr. Buchanan moved that a loan of \$55,000 be made to the Marching Band from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried unanimously by roll call vote.

(Note to minutes: It was understood that this was to be an interest-free loan and no repayment schedule was specified.)

The meeting adjourned for lunch and a meeting of the Investment Advisory Committee, and reconvened at 1:30 P.M.

#### 10. Proposed Increase in Room and Board Rates, UNLV

President Dixon recommended an increase in the dormitory fee for Tonopah Hall of \$50 per semester, effective Fall semester, 1979. This increase will bring the total combined room and board rate (regular semester, 19 meals per week) to \$921, plus the required \$4 per semester health fee required of all dormitory residents, for a total of \$1,850 for the academic year. Chancellor Baepler recommended approval.

Mr. Ross moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong,

carried without dissent.

11. Bid Opening, Remodeling of Moyer Student Union

President Dixon reported that bids were opened June 15 for remodeling of Moyer Student Union to add a delicatessen as part of the food services. Two bids were received, as follows:

Argus Construction Co.	\$44,541
------------------------	----------

Ponderosa Construction Co., Inc.	42,948
----------------------------------	--------

President Dixon recommended the bid of Ponderosa Construction be accepted, with funds to be provided from the Student Union Reserve Fund (\$37,527.65), and from the Student Union Building Operating Account (\$5,420.35). Chancellor Baepler concurred.

Mr. Buchanan moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. McBride, carried without dissent.

12. Appointment of Executive Director, Bioresources Center, DRI

Vice President Dawson presented a recommendation from Presi-

ident Smith that Dr. David L. Koch be appointed Executive Director of the Bioresources Center, effective July 1, 1979, at an annual salary of \$36,500. A copy of Dr. Koch's vita was distributed (filed with permanent minutes).

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride, carried without dissent.

### 13. Proposal for Retitling of Positions in Chancellor's Office

Chancellor Baepler recalled that at the previous meeting on June 8, the Board had approved the merger of Budget and Audit in the Chancellor's Office and had deferred action on his request for retitling of three positions, asking that an organization chart and position descriptions be provided.

Chancellor Baepler noted the inclusion with the agenda of an organization chart (identified as Ref. C and filed with permanent minutes), and reported that position descriptions for the three positions under consideration had been transmitted to the Regents separately from the agenda.

Dr. Baepler stated that the move to merge the Budget and Audit functions had been accomplished and expressed himself as being totally pleased with the result. He suggested that

a problem which appears to be perceived by those not directly involved (i. e., a conflict of interest created by having Budget and Audit functions reporting through the same supervisor) is not a problem when viewed from the inside, pointing out that there are three fundamental areas within the financial affairs of the University -- budgeting, accounting and auditing. The accounting function for the University is done by the Business Centers, not by the staff of the Chancellor's Office. The Chancellor's Office does not generate budgets, but merely analyzes and compiles them into a System budget. The end result then, he explained, is that there is one group in the Chancellor's Office (the Budget staff) whose function it is to compile budgets and analyze how Departments propose to spend their money. The second group (the Audit staff) is then responsible for reviewing how the money was actually spent. Under the reorganization approved on June 8, Dr. Baepler noted that the Audit staff and the Budget staff now report to a Director of Finance, rather than having the Director of each of these two staffs reporting to the Chancellor.

Dr. Baepler referred to his earlier proposal that three of the positions in the Chancellor's Office be retitled Vice Chancellor. He stressed that this was not a critical issue

to him, not nearly as important as he considered the reorganization already approved, but suggested that the problem is basically with the Community College Coordinator position. He noted that the title for this new position had ranged from that of Coordinator (the title under which recruiting for the position had occurred), and that of President, but suggested that it deserved the title either of Director or Vice Chancellor, in that this individual will be a visible spokesman for the Community Colleges to the Legislature, to the Regents, and to the public.

Dr. Baepler noted that when there is only one Vice Chancellor in an organization, that person is frequently assumed to be the number two person, but pointed out that this would not be the case with the Vice Chancellor for the Community Colleges. He suggested that in addition to this Vice Chancellor, the University's attorney, who is the only Director who has direct reporting authority to the Regents and who frequently is used for signatory purposes, would be an ideal candidate for the title of Vice Chancellor, as would the newly-appointed Director of Finance, due to the broad scope of that operation. He further pointed out that such changes in titles would in no way change their functions, nor would it change their relationship to Division and

Campus Officers or to other professionals in the Chancellor's Office.

Mr. Mc Bride asked for reassurance that these proposed title changes would not be equated with a salary level equal to or above those paid to Officers and Administrators within the Divisions. Chancellor Baepler stated that the salaries projected for these three positions for 1979-80 are approximately \$8,000 - \$10,000 lower than comparable positions in the Chancellor's Offices of 26 institutions included in the 1978-79 Arkansas Study, but emphasized that there was no inference whatever that the Vice Chancellors' would be at a higher salary than other Directors, and no intention that they would be in the future.

In response to questions from the Board, Chancellor Baepler also stated that the two positions which were being vacated in the Budget Department would be replaced with people who have strong backgrounds in statistics and accounting, but other than those two replacement personnel, he did not anticipate bringing in any more new people.

At the Chairman's request, Mr. Partridge explained the reorganized Finance Department and how he proposed to keep the



Audit and Budget functions separate so as to avoid any conflict between the two functions. He stated that he would continue to seek certified public accountants for the Audit staff. For the Budget staff, he would seek people who have backgrounds in budgets and who can analyze and do the additional work after those budgets have been approved and placed in the financial accounting system to make sure the budgets are appropriate and functioning.

Mr. Partridge also commented on the newly-formed Audit Committee of the Board of Regents, noting that the Audit staff can, at any time there is concern that he as their supervisor is acting in an inappropriate manner, go directly to the Chancellor and beyond him to the Audit Committee, should they feel it necessary to do so.

He explained that accountants are bound by professional ethics which are explicit and which serve as guidelines in the event a member of the Audit staff has a problem which is not resolved satisfactorily by the Administration, in which case such a staff member would seek independent legal advice and either terminate his association with the University or pursue the matter within the framework of the governing board. Mr. Partridge stressed that this independence is

critical to the Audit staff, and would be reinforced by the Audit Committee of the Board of Regents and by the Independent Auditors who annually review the University's books and records.

Chancellor Baepler commented on the bids accepted earlier for the WNCC revenue bonds, noting that the 6.2% interest was due partly to the legislation which permits pooling of funds, but also because he and Mr. Partridge had personally visited the bond rating people in New York, suggesting that when the University deals with external agencies such as Standard and Poors or Moody, it is helpful to have a person who is a certified public accountant and who can be identified as a Finance person, rather than just the head of an audit group or of a budget group. He also suggested that the advantages of the proposed title change correlate directly with the kinds of budget and audit information that he wished to provide to the Board and which they had not been getting in the past.

Dr. Lombardi expressed concern that perhaps the Board had acted somewhat precipitously at the last meeting in terminating two people within the Budget Office, and asked if there was any possibility they could be retained within the

organization. Chancellor Baepler stated that he felt that at least one of them could be productively used in a position currently vacant, but he had not resolved the problem of the second position.

Mrs. Knudtsen noted the proposed organization chart presented by the Chancellor, commenting that it reflected the Assistant Secretary of the Board reporting directly to the Board rather than to the Secretary of the Board and suggested that it was both confusing and inappropriate.

Chancellor Baepler explained that Barbara Summers serves as Assistant Secretary, handling the Investment Advisory Committee, and does not report to the Secretary of the Board in that function. Mrs. Knudtsen suggested that she should.

Dr. Lombardi agreed. Chancellor Baepler stated that the placement on the organization chart was unimportant and could be changed. He suggested that the relationship between the Assistant Secretary and the Secretary is important and noted that this relationship was excellent.

Chancellor Baepler also explained the functions of the Director of Institutional Research, and the Director of Special Studies and Planning, and agreed to send position

descriptions to the Regents. Chairman Cashell expressed reservations concerning a salary increase for Dr. Mathewson, formerly Director of Budget, stating that he personally felt that he had done a poor job with the budget. Chancellor Baepler acknowledged previous discussions with the Chairman concerning this and stated that he would make an adjustment.

Mr. Ron Kendall, Chairman of the Unit Senate, reported that the Unit Senate had met the previous Wednesday, and had asked that the following statement be submitted to the Board:

The action to reorganize the Chancellor's Office, which resulted in the termination of two employees was made in violation of the University of Nevada System Code, Section 1.3.7, Changes in Administrative Units, which reads as follows: "Creation, abolition or substantial alteration of Departments, Colleges, laboratories, or similar administrative units, shall be approved only after consideration by the Unit and the Division concerned, according to the Division Bylaws. Final action shall require approval by the Board of Regents." Since this procedure was not followed, despite the Unit Senate's objections, the Board's action disregards the UNS Code. We object to such arbitrary recognition of

the Code. Such precipitous and capricious action by the Board has a detrimental effect on the morals, recruiting and retention of professional personnel within the System. It also undermines the quality of higher education in Nevada because professionals are not willing to risk their careers in an environment where termination can occur without notice or evaluation.

We recommend that the Board rescind its actions on Item 7, Proposed Reorganization of Chancellor's Office, as recorded in the minutes of its meeting of June 8, 1979.

Mr. Kendall stressed that the Unit Senate was objecting to the methods and not the technicalities of the reorganization. He further explained that the Unit is comprised of the Chancellor's Office, the University Press, and the Computing Center. The Unit Senate represents the professional employees in these three components, as the Division Senates represent the faculty in their respective Divisions. He noted that two of the employees of the Unit were terminated without being offered the opportunity of placing their objections through the established forum, the Unit Senate.

Chancellor Baepler suggested that there were two separable

issues, in that the establishment of new Departments, Colleges etc., is a far cry from taking two financial areas and merging them. He suggested that the very minor rearrangement represented by this reorganization be separated from the two terminations, pointing out that the Code requires that personnel be given notice in a timely way, and the one year provision called for in the Code was met.

Mr. Kendall asked if the terminations were due to the reorganization. Mr. Lessly said no, and Chancellor Baepler concurred, pointing out that the terminations were totally independent of the reorganizations since the positions will continue to exist and will be refilled.

Mr. Kendall objected to the manner in which the terminations were conducted, suggesting that they should have been afforded an opportunity to be heard in a closed personnel session.

Mr. Lessly disagreed, pointing out that the terminations involved in the reorganization were handled totally in accordance with the Code, with both of the employees affected having certain rights under Chapter 4 of the Code, which he stated they have already taken advantage of.

Chairman Cashell suggested that Mr. Kendall was not sufficiently informed of the facts and was perhaps out of line.

Mrs. Fong moved approval of the Chancellor's recommendation for changes in titles from Coordinator to Vice Chancellor for Community Colleges, from General Counsel to Vice Chancellor for Legal Affairs and from Director of Finance to Vice Chancellor of Financial Affairs. Motion seconded by Mr. Ross, carried without dissent.

Mrs. Knudtsen asked if approval of the changes also included the change in the reporting lines of the Assistant Secretary through the Secretary of the Board and was assured by the Chancellor and by Chairman Cashell that it did.

#### 14. Designation of Special Counsel

Chancellor Baepler recommended that Attorney Roger E. Newton be designated as special counsel for the purpose of representing the University in any emergency legal matter which may occur during the month of July, 1979, while Mr. Lessly is absent from the State.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Ross, carried without dissent.

#### 15. Appointment of Advisory Committee on Minority Affairs

Chancellor Baepler recalled that Miss Mason had previously proposed an Advisory Committee to the Chancellor on Minority Affairs (proposal identified as Ref. D and filed with permanent minutes), and noted that this proposal had been discussed at length with the Chancellor's Advisory Cabinet in an attempt to determine the kind of mechanism which would best meet the objectives of this particular committee. He pointed out that each of the institutions within the University System has a different set of problems with respect to minority affairs because there are difference minority components within each community. He proposed that each institution establish a committee to look at the local and inter-institutional problems and that two members be appointed from each of these committees to a system committee for the purpose of comparing the problems and the methods used to deal with them. He agreed that the affirmative action officers now active within each institution should be at least ex officio on their Campus committees. He suggested that since each institution has different problems and would



be addressing different issues, it would be important that they be able to communicate through the larger system committee.

Miss Mason suggested that although the smaller the committee the more effective it would be, it was desirable that community people also be involved. Chancellor Baepler agreed and suggested that a first report could be provided to the Board in mid-year, after the committees have been functioning on each Campus for about six months.

In addition to involvement of people representing the minorities in the communities, Miss Mason also urged that there be student representation and input where possible.

Mrs. Fong also recommended that there be consultation and input from the handicapped segment of the community.

Chancellor Baepler restated his recommendation that each institution, if they have not already done so, form a committee to deal with problems relating to minorities. Each committee will then recommend one or two of their members to a system committee that will meet twice a year to analyze what the entire System is doing. People from the community would be invited to participate in the statewide group and,

if desired, also with the local or institutional committees.

Mr. Ross moved approval of the Chancellor's recommendation.

Motion seconded by Mr. Buchanan, carried without dissent.

It was agreed that any suggestions for appointments to any of these committees should be sent to the respective Division of Campus Presidents, either directly or through the Secretary of the Board.

#### 16. Code Amendment Regarding Retirement Requirements

Chancellor Baepler recalled that in February, 1979 a recommendation was submitted to the Board for amendment of Board policy regarding retirement of faculty to bring that policy into conformance with the Federal Age Discrimination and Employment Act of 1977. He noted that the recommendation was withdrawn from the February agenda to permit further discussion with the faculty. He noted that as of July 1, 1982, Federal law will require mandatory retirement at age 70; however, Universities have been specifically exempted, allowing them to require mandatory retirement of tenured faculty at age 65, until July 1, 1982, at which time age 70 will be required for all faculty. Nontenured faculty may

now be retained until age 70.

Dr. Baepler also noted that Board policy with respect to retirement of professional staff, as established April 27, 1951, is as follows:

(1) Retirement may be granted or required by the Board of Regents any time after the employee shall have reached 60 years of age.

(2) All faculty members shall retire at age 65, but may be reappointed at the discretion of the Board of Regents upon an annual basis.

Chancellor Baepler recommended that this policy be rescinded immediately, and the practice of granting postretirement appointments be abolished, and that, henceforth, any faculty member continuing within the University after retirement be retained by letter of appointment for specific courses.

Chancellor Baepler further recommended that the University Code be amended to establish 65 as the mandatory retirement age for tenured faculty, effective immediately, as reflected in a proposed revision of Chapter 3 (identified as Ref. E

and filed with permanent minutes), to conform University policy to federal law, noting that further revisions of the Code will be required, effective July 1, 1982, to conform with the provisions of federal law providing for retirement of tenured faculty members at age 70.

Mr. Lessly explained that federal law allows the University three options: (1) no mandatory retirement age whatsoever; (2) adoption of age 70 as the mandatory retirement age for all faculty, effective immediately; or (3) adoption of age 65 as the mandatory retirement age for tenured faculty, as allowed by the exemption provided to Universities, and age 70 for nontenured faculty, until 1982 when age 70 will apply to all faculty. Mr. Lessly also explained the problem related to postretirement appointments, pointing out that since the University has no standards for award of postretirement appointments, failure to award such an appointment to an individual faculty member cannot be sustained if challenged in court. He advised that until such time as criteria established governing postretirement appointments, such can be criteria as will sustain judicial attack, no postretirement appointments be permitted except as outlined by the Chancellor.

President Crowley explained that there are between 25-30 tenured faculty members at UNR, representing approximately ten percent of UNR's instructional staff, who will be affected by the adoption of age 65 as the mandatory retirement age. He stated that, in his opinion, this issue is extremely critical to UNR's ability to manage the reduction in force imposed by the 1979 Legislature. Dr. Crowley pointed out that if the Board were to immediately implement an age 70 mandatory retirement policy, instead of age 65 as is recommended, in order to meet the required reductions in force, the people having to be released would be younger faculty, effectively denying to the University any opportunity to bring in new faculty until 1982. Dr. Crowley also pointed out that approximately 75% of UNR faculty are now tenured, and if the 25 to 30 people who would be retired under the age 65 policy are retained, percentages will go even higher.

President Dixon stated that although the situation is not so critical for UNLV, involving only 4-5 people on that Campus, he shared the concerns expressed by President Crowley and Chancellor Baepler about the impact on higher education posed by the new federal law on mandatory retirement age.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval of the Chancellor's recommendation. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride.

Mrs. Betty Elliott, Chairman of the Faculty Senate, WNCC/N, presented the following statement for the record:

I am Betty Elliott and I represent the faculty of Western Nevada Community College - Reno/Sparks Campus.

With due respect to the problem this issue creates for the Universities, the faculty of WNCC-Reno/Sparks strongly opposes the mandatory retirement at age 65 for professional employees. This opposition is based on several factors:

1) This present measure supply utilizes a loophole for Universities to delay conforming to the Federal Regulations.

2) The mandatory retirement is discriminatory against University professionals in that:

a. It is discriminatory as compared to other present University personnel who are assured employment under the federal amendments to

the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of  
1978;

b. It is discriminatory as compared to all other  
public employees in Nevada who are assured  
employment until age 70 under an act passed by  
the 1973 State Legislature;

c. It is discriminatory as compared to all public  
school teachers and Administrators who are  
assured employment until age 70 by both State  
and federal regulations;

d. It is discriminatory as compared to all  
employees in private enterprise who may not  
be retired at 65 under the new federal law,  
except for management employees receiving  
retirement benefits provided by the company  
that amount to more than \$20,000 per year;

e. It is discriminatory to other tenured Univer-  
sity professors who reached 65 prior to this  
current year or who will reach 65 after June  
30, 1982.

3) In many classes both at Community College and the University we teach our students that age is not a determinate of one's value to society, and as we all know, society has tended to deny the value of our aging citizens. We feel it is a great hypocrisy to try to enlighten the thinking and to alter prejudices of our students toward the older members of society, while at the same time that very same institution is denying the worth of its oldest, yet experienced teaching staff.

4) Lastly, since we are a Community College, a segment of the community we serve are those 65 or older. Are we in essence saying to this segment, you're welcome at the College as a student but not as a staff member?

Mr. Lessly disagreed that adoption of the Chancellor's recommendation constitutes a delay in conforming with Federal law, pointing out that any of the three options described earlier would comply. He also suggested that the fact that Mrs. Elliott felt that adoption of age 65 for mandatory retirement was discriminatory could not be helped, pointing



out that the federal government had established the standards. Mr. Lessly also stated that comparing University professional employees with other public employees of the State or of public school districts is irrelevant, pointing out that the Board of Regents has the constitutional power to make personnel policies for the University's professional employees and does not have to conform to State statutes for other public employees.

Mr. Mc Bride expressed concern for the two Community College faculty members who will be retired by the adoption of 65 as the mandatory retirement age for tenured faculty, but pointed out that any other action by the Board will result in loss of jobs for 20 to 30 younger faculty at UNR and UNLV.

Dr. Marschall, UNR Faculty Senate Chairman, also expressed concern that the action recommended would have an adverse affect on the lives of some people, but reported that because of the crucial situation UNR finds itself forced to deal with, the UNR faculty strongly supports the recommendation for adoption of age 65.

In response to a question from Dr. Marschall concerning the possibility of reinstating a policy permitting postretire-

ment appointments, Chancellor Baepler confirmed that although the Board is being advised to discontinue postretirement appointments for the reasons stated by Counsel, at such time as acceptable criteria can be developed, the Board will be requested to reinstate postretirement appointments.

Mr. Andrew Puccinelli, stating that he was present at the request of Charles Springer, attorney for NSEA, distributed a letter written by Mr. Springer on behalf of one of the NSEA members. He suggested that the federal law referred to by Mr. Lessly should appropriately be regarded as a guideline rather than as a mandate, as implied by Mr. Lessly.

Mr. Puccinelli also cited NRS 281.370 which he said prohibited discharge from employment because of age, adding that this statute had been upheld by the Supreme Court.

Mr. Lessly pointed out that action by the 1979 Legislature had amended this statute, and further noted that the University is not bound by State statutes in the area of professional personnel policy.

Motion carried without dissent.

Chancellor Baepler reported that the 1979 Legislature amended the provisions of the Public Employees Retirement Act to permit full service credit for part-time employment of certain public employees who are eligible to retire. A copy of the pertinent legislation, AB 738, was included with the agenda (identified as Ref. F and filed with permanent minutes). Specifically, Dr. Baepler noted, this provides that an employee who is 60 years of age or older and who has contributed to the Public Employees Retirement System for ten years or more may elect to work less than full-time (but not less than half-time) and still receive full service credit for up to five additional years, provided the employer and the employee make contributions equivalent to that required if employment were full-time, and provided the agreement under which this early retirement is elected does not extend beyond five years.

Chancellor Baepler recommended authorization to implement these early retirement provisions for University of Nevada System employees who qualify. In requesting this authorization, Chancellor Baepler acknowledged that there were some problems with the legislation and suggested that the Administration should establish criteria for such agreements

in order that all persons requesting such early retirement may be treated equally.

Mr. Lessly suggested that there was an additional problem with the legislation of which the Board should be aware, suggesting that if the Board authorized the Division President to enter into such contracts, it should be specified that no such contract may be issued that would circumvent the mandatory retirement age policy.

Chairman Cashell suggested that this matter be withdrawn in order that Counsel could review this legislation and prepare a document for the Board reflecting the legal ramifications as they relate to University personnel.

Mr. Buchanan moved that this matter be withdrawn as requested by the Chairman. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

#### 18. Request for Authorization to Sell Revenue Bonds

Chancellor Baepler requested adoption of the following resolution to permit the sale of \$5.1 million in revenue bonds for the addition to the Dickinson Library at UNLV, and \$4

million for the UNR School of Business Administration:

RESOLUTION NO. 79-6

A RESOLUTION DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "9-1-79 PUBLIC SALE RESOLUTION"; AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC SALE OF THE SECURITIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, DESIGNATED AS THE "UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM, UNIVERSITIES REVENUE BONDS, SERIES SEPTEMBER 1, 1979", IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$9,100,000.00, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, OTHER ACQUISITION, AND IMPROVEMENT OF BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, AND OTHER FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, AND OTHER APPURTENANCES, FOR (1) THE ADDITION TO THE DICKINSON LIBRARY, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS SUBPROJECT, AND (2) THE COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, SUBPROJECT; PROVIDING THE FORMS OF THE NOTICES OF SUCH SALE; PROVIDING FOR GIVING NOTICE OF THE SALE AND OTHER DETAILS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND REPEALING ALL RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT HEREWITH.

Mr. Buchanan moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc

Bride, carried without dissent.

## 19. Revisions and Additions to Work Program for 1979-80

Chancellor Baepler reported that when the Work Program was submitted to an earlier meeting, all of the Estimative Budgets had not been completed and noted that they have not all been compiled and submitted and recommended their approval by the Board, adding that this completed the Work Program for 1979-80, subject to revisions that will be made when the professional salaries have been distributed, and such revisions as may be made when reviewed by the Audit Committee.

Mr. Mc Bride moved conditional approval, subject to further revision when professional salaries are distributed and accommodated into the Work Program. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent.

## 20. Proposal for Board Workshop

Mr. Mc Bride recalled that he had proposed at the June meeting that the Board consider a Workshop for Regents and Officers and had requested that further discussion concerning this be scheduled for the June 29 meeting. Mr. Mc Bride stated that he is working on a proposal and asked that this

item be deferred until the August meeting and in the meantime he would be sending material to the Regents for their review.

21. Mr. Mc Bride expressed concern about two items appearing on the agenda which he noted had not gone through review by the concerned Campus officer. He stated that he did not believe it desirable for items to come to the Board for consideration without involvement of the cognizant President. He asked the Board to take a critical look at this practice and suggested that when an individual Regent receives a request he should insist that it go through the appropriate Officer for review. Mrs. Knudtsen agreed. Mr. Cashell strongly recommended that all such items be referred back through the appropriate channels and suggested that perhaps this problem might be dealt with in the pending review of the Board of Regents Bylaws. Mr. Mc Bride suggested that this might be an appropriate item for discussion within a Board Workshop setting, stating that either the Board works with the Presidents and the Chancellor, or the Board doesn't need them.

22. Request for Special Committee for Sports Pavilions

Chancellor Baepler stated that there is a special problem

with the two sports pavilions in keeping the north and south ends of the state coordinated in accordance with the requirements of AB 63. He suggested that for purposes of this coordination and to provide a very necessary communication link, a special ad hoc committee be appointed, composed of a Regent from the north and one from the south, to be appointed by the Chairman of the Board, and that there be an institutional and a booster representative from each end of the State, with these to be appointed by the UNR and UNLV Presidents. This six-member committee would then be responsible to see that the projects move concurrently as required by the enabling legislation.

Mr. Mc Bride moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Buchanan, carried without dissent.

### 23. Report of Investment Advisory Committee

In the absence of Committee Chairman Karamanos, Dr. Lombardi submitted the following report from the Investment Advisory Committee:

The Investment Advisory Committee met at noon today and received reports from the 3 bank representatives.



There were no recommendations for further changes in the portfolios except that for First National Bank.

Mr. Bob Lee of FNB proposed for Committee consideration that the bank be authorized to purchase \$1 million par value of Canadian government bonds yielding 10% interest. This purchase would not only offer an attractive interest rate, but would offer 2 opportunities for appreciation: (1) a rise in the value of the Canadian dollar would result in a profit to the University, and (2) a decline in current interest rates would increase the value of the bonds. The Committee recommends Board approval of this purchase, and also recommends rescinding a previous authorization for the purchase of \$600,000 in bonds.

No action was taken on a proposal to invest endowment funds in a note on a warehouse in Las Vegas.

The Committee also took no action with regard to an agenda item concerning the investment of excess income from the Atmospherium/Planetarium endowment in second deeds of trust in order to accumulate funds for the future purchase of equipment. It was recognized that the Planetarium will need to make substantial expendi-

tures at some time in the future for the purchase of new equipment; however, excess income which has been reinvested in the existing endowment funds can be used for that purpose.

Dr. Lombardi moved approval of the recommendations of the Committee. Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent.

#### 24. Coordination of Commencement Dates and Locations

Chancellor Baepler explained that the Regents had indicated their concern that UNR and UNLV had scheduled commencements the previous month at the same date and time, precluding attendance by the Regents at both ceremonies, and had indicated they they would prefer that the necessary coordination occur to avoid similar conflicts in the future. Dr. Baepler pointed out that the problem arises from the fact that UNR and UNLV are on identical academic year calendars and movement of Commencement to a week earlier or a week later creates some serious problems.

It was suggested that the two Presidents work out some arrangements whereby one Commencement will be held on Saturday

morning, and perhaps the other Commencement held on Friday evening. It was also suggested that UNR give consideration to arrangements for a Speaker at Commencement in deference to the students, their parents and to the expressed wishes of some of the Regents.

It was further suggested that Western Nevada Community College take the necessary action required to hold only one Commencement ceremony, instead of a separate one for its Carson Campus graduates and a separate one for its Reno-Sparks Campus graduates.

Mr. Buchanan moved that this matter be deferred until a subsequent meeting to permit the Presidents to develop the plans necessary to accommodate the request of the Board.

Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Bride, carried without dissent.

#### 25. Request for Interfund Loan, UNR

President Crowley requested authorization for an interfund loan of \$7,000 from the Parking Permits Account to Audio Visual to fund the Film Library Catalog. The loan is to be repaid in three annual installments of \$2,333.33 from the film rental account. Chancellor Baepler recommended

approval.

Mr. Buchanan moved approval. Motion seconded by Dr.

Lombardi, carried without dissent.

## 26. George S. Markley Estate

President Crowley reported that the University of Nevada, Reno, has received a bequest from George S. Markley for the benefit of the School of Medical Sciences. The estate included a 1972 Toyota Corona Mark II automobile, one lot of furniture and personal possessions, one lot of men's jewelry, and one Home Savings and Loan Association 7.5% TCD in the face amount of \$20,000, maturing April 4, 1982, and cash totaling \$29,415.95. Dr. Crowley also reported that the University has received from the Markley Estate a piece of property in Rockport, Texas.

President Crowley recommended acceptance of the bequest for the purposes specified in the will, and requested that signature authority on the account with Home Savings and Loan Association be assigned to Vice President Edward L. Pine, Controller Henry M. Hattori and Deputy Controller Daniel L. Pease, and further requested authorization to proceed with

the sale of the property in Texas.

Mr. Mc Bride moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong,  
carried without dissent.

#### 27. Request for Authorization to Sell Revenue Bonds

Chancellor Baepler requested authorization to proceed with  
the sale of \$58 million in revenue bonds, with \$56 million  
for the sports pavilions and \$2 million in two years for the  
Business and Hotel Administration building at UNLV. The \$56  
million issue will be used to finance the \$30 million facil-  
ity at UNLV and the \$26 million facility at UNR. He noted  
that although it is not anticipated that the issue will be  
sold until the Fall, it is necessary to have the Board's  
authorization at this point so that litigation which is  
necessary can be triggered.

Dr. Lombardi moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason,  
carried without dissent.

#### 28. Request for Authorization to Lease Clinical Space

President Crowley reported that the School of Medical

Sciences has been operating an outpatient clinic on Mill Street with the assistance of a federal grant transferred to the University by the County Health Department. The space for the clinic has not proved to be adequate and there is an opportunity to lease an additional 4,000 square feet in a recently completed building near Washoe Medical Center; however, the School must guarantee the lease. President Crowley requested a loan of \$20,000 from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account, to be repaid from patient care dollars which will begin to come in as soon as the staff has occupied the new space. He proposed repayment at the rate of \$1,000 per month, beginning July 1, 1980.

Dr. Lombardi moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason.

Mrs. Fong suggested that 90 cents per square foot was excessive for rent.

Motion carried with Mrs. Fong opposing.

#### 29. Request for Transfer of Funds, CCCC

President Kreider requested approval to transfer \$15,770.20 from the CCCC Contingency Fund to Buildings and Grounds to

permit the award of a contract for the construction of a  
block wall around the Child Development Center at CCCC.

Chancellor Baepler recommended approval.

Mrs. Buchanan moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss  
Mason, carried without dissent.

### 30. New Business

Chairman Cashell asked that a report be included on the  
September agenda concerning the University police force,  
the necessity for using weapons, the necessity of having  
flashing lights on vehicles, and a recommendation from the  
Chancellor's Office on how security at the different Cam-  
puses should be handled.

The meeting adjourned at 3:00 P.M.

Bonnie M. Smotony

Secretary of the Board

06-29-1979